## Lecture 3

## Examples of holomorphic functions

In the last lecture we saw that being a holomorphic function is a very restrictive condition. The aim of today's lecture is to construct a large supply of holomorphic functions.

## Polynomials and Rational functions

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are holomorphic function on their domains, then all the functions $f+g, f \cdot g, f / g, f \circ g$ are also holomorphic on their domains.
Since $f(z)=z$ is trivially holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, as an immediate consequence we conclude:

1. Power functions $f(z)=z^{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=k z^{k-1}
$$

2. Polynomials $P(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{d} a_{n} z^{n}$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with

$$
P^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{d} n a_{n} z^{n-1}
$$

3. Rational functions $P(z) / Q(z)$, where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are holomorphic everywhere on the domain $\mathcal{D}:=$ $\{z \mid Q(z) \neq 0\}$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra $\mathcal{D}$ is the whole complex plane except for at most $\operatorname{deg} Q$ points.

By Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, any polynomial $P(z)$ can be written as $P(z)=a_{n}\left(z-w_{1}\right) \ldots\left(z-w_{n}\right)$. Complex numbers $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ are called roots or zeros of $P$.
Definition 1. If $R(z)=P(z) / Q(z)$ is an irreducible rational function (i.e. $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ do not have common factors), then zeros of $P(z)$ are a zeros of $R(z)$, while zeros of $Q(z)$ are poles of $R(z)$. Poles $\beta$ are characterized by the property

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \beta} R(z)=\infty
$$

Order of a zero $\beta$ is the number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(z) /(z-\beta)^{k}$ has a finite non-zero limit as $z \rightarrow \beta$. Similarly order of a pole $\beta$ is the number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R(z)(z-\beta)^{k}$ has a finite non-zero limit as $z \rightarrow \beta$.

It is convenient to extend the domain and range of a rational function to the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ :

$$
R: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} .
$$

Concretely, to define $R(\infty)$ we consider $R_{1}(z):=R(1 / z)$ and set $R(\infty):=R_{1}(0)$.
Theorem 2 (Partial Fraction Expansion). Given a rational function $R(z)$ with poles $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$, there exist polynomials $G(z)$ and $G_{j}(z), j=1, \ldots k$ such that

$$
R(z)=G(z)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} G_{j}\left(\frac{1}{z-\beta_{j}}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\beta$ be one of the poles of $R(z)$ of order $k$, i.e.,

$$
R(z)=\frac{\widetilde{R}(z)}{(z-\beta)^{k}}
$$

where $\widetilde{R}(\beta)$ is a non-zero complex number. Then the rational function

$$
R(z)-\frac{\widetilde{R}(\beta)}{(z-\beta)^{k}}=\frac{\widetilde{R}(z)-\widetilde{R}(\beta)}{(z-\beta)^{k}}
$$

has pole at $\beta$ of order $<k$.
Iterating this procedure we will eventually eliminate all poles $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta$ by subtracting expression of the form

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} G_{j}\left(\frac{1}{z-\beta_{j}}\right)
$$

We are left with a rational function

$$
R(z)-\sum_{j=1}^{k} G_{j}\left(\frac{1}{z-\beta_{j}}\right)
$$

with no poles. A rational function with no poles in $\mathbb{C}$ is a polynomial $G(z)$, therefore

$$
R(z)=G(z)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} G_{j}\left(\frac{1}{z-\beta_{j}}\right)
$$

as required.
The set of rational functions $\{P(z) / Q(z)\}$ is closed under all basic operations: addition, multiplication, division, composition. Therefore we need some new techniques to construct another examples of holomorphic functions.

## Power series

In this section we review the basic theory of power series which is the most important, and essentially, the only source of holomorphic functions.

Definition 3. A (complex) power series is an expression of the form

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

where $a_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$. A power series converges at $z_{0}$ if there exists a finite limit

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} z_{0}^{n}
$$

A power series absolutely converges at $z_{0}$ if there exists a finite limit

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|a_{n} \| z_{0}\right|^{n}
$$

Remark 4. By Cauchy's convergence test, if a power series absolutely converges at $z_{0}$, then it converges at $z_{0}$.
Remark 5. If a power series absolutely converges at $z_{0}$, then it will also absolutely converge at any $z^{\prime}$ with $\left|z^{\prime}\right| \leqslant\left|z_{0}\right|$.

Example 6. The prime example of a power series is the power series representing the exponential function:

$$
e^{z}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}
$$

We will prove that the series on the right hand side yields a well-defined holomorphic function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$.
Theorem 7. Given a power series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

Let $0 \leqslant R \leqslant+\infty$ such that

- If $|z|<R$ the series converges absolutely.
- If $|z|>R$ the series diverges.

Moreover, $R$ is given by Hadamard's formula:

$$
1 / R=\limsup \left|a_{n}\right|^{1 / n}
$$

Number $R$ is called the radius of convergence of $\sum a_{n} z^{n}$.
Proof. Let $1 / R$ be the number defined by Hadamard's formula. Given $|z|<R$, we can choose $\epsilon>0$ such that the number

$$
r:=(1 / R+\epsilon)|z|<1 .
$$

By definition of $1 / R$ we have that for all $n$ large enough

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|^{1 / n}<(1 / R+\epsilon) \Leftrightarrow\left|a_{n}\right|<(1 / R+\epsilon)^{n} .
$$

Therefore, for $n$ large enough we have

$$
\left|a_{n} \| z^{n}\right|<((1 / R+\epsilon)|z|)^{n}=r^{n} .
$$

Hence the 'tail' of $\sum\left|a_{n} \| z\right|^{n}$ is dominated by a convergent geometric series.
The part $|z|>R$ is left as an exercise.
Example 8. For the series defining $e^{z}$ we have

$$
1 / R=\limsup |1 / n!|^{1 / n}=0
$$

since $n!\geqslant n^{n / 2}$. Hence $R=+\infty$ and $e^{z}$ is defined by an absolutely convergent power series.
Any power series is holomorphic in its disc of convergence $B_{R}(0)$.
Theorem 9. The power series $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ is holomorphic in the open disc $B_{R}(0)$, where $R$ is the radius of convergence. Moreover, $f^{\prime}(z)$ is given by the power series with the same radius of convergence obtained from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ by the term-wise differentiation:

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}
$$

Proof. First we note that since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / n}=0$,

$$
\limsup \left|a_{n}\right|^{1 / n}=\limsup \left|n a_{n}\right|^{\left.\right|^{1 / n}}
$$

so that $\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ and $\sum n a_{n} z^{n}$ have the same radius of convergence, hence so does $\sum n a_{n} z^{n-1}$.
Denote

$$
g(z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}
$$

Not take $z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|<r<R$. Our aim is to prove that the difference

$$
\left|\frac{f\left(z_{0}+h\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}-g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

can be made arbitrary small by choosing $h$ small enough.
Let us break the series defining $f(z)$ into two parts:

$$
f(z)=S_{N}(z)+E_{N}(z)=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n} z^{n}\right)+\left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}\right)
$$

with $N$ to be determined. Then for $h$ such that $\left|z_{0}+h\right|<r$ we can rewrite

$$
\frac{f\left(z_{0}+h\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}-g\left(z_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{S_{N}\left(z_{0}+h\right)-S\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}-S_{N}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\left(S_{N}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-g\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\left(\frac{E_{N}\left(z_{0}+h\right)-E_{N}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}\right)
$$

We want to bound all three terms on the right hand side.

1. Since $a^{n}-b^{n}=(a-b)\left(a^{n-1}+a^{n-2} b+\cdots+a b^{n-2}+b^{n-1}\right) \leqslant n|a-b| \max (|a|,|b|)^{n-1}$, we have for the third summand

$$
\left|\frac{E_{N}\left(z_{0}+h\right)-E_{N}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}\right| \leqslant \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|\left|\frac{\left(z_{0}+h\right)^{n}-z_{0}^{n}}{h}\right|<\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right| r^{n-1}
$$

The final expression is the tail a convergent series, since $g(z)$ absolutely converges in $\{z||z|<R\}$. Hence given $\epsilon>0$ we can find $N_{1}$ large enough so that for $N>N_{1}$

$$
\left|\frac{E_{N}\left(z_{0}+h\right)-E_{N}\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}\right|<\epsilon .
$$

2. Next, since $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} S_{N}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=g\left(z_{0}\right)$, we can find $N_{2}$ so that for $N>N_{2}$

$$
\left|S_{N}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\epsilon
$$

Fix $N>\max \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$
3. Finally, since $S_{N}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is the complex derivative of a polynomial $S_{N}(z)$ at $z=z_{0}$, we can find $\delta>0$ such that for $|h|<\delta$ we have

$$
\left|\frac{S_{N}\left(z_{0}+h\right)-S\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}-S_{N}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\epsilon .
$$

Collecting three inequalities together we find:

$$
\left|\frac{f\left(z_{0}+h\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{h}-g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<3 \epsilon
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $g\left(z_{0}\right)$ is the derivative of $f_{0}(z)$ at $z=z_{0}$.
Corollary 10. A power series $f(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$ is infinitely complex differentiable in its disk of convergence, and all its derivatives could be computed by the term-wise differentiation. In particular

$$
a_{p}=\frac{f^{(p)}(0)}{p!}, p \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Example 11. Applying the above theorem to the series defining $e^{z}$, we conclude that $e^{z}$ is holomorphic with $\left(e^{z}\right)^{\prime}=e^{z}$.

Exercise 1. Prove that for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
e^{z} \cdot e^{w}=e^{z+w}
$$

Hint: multiply the series defining $e^{z}$ and $e^{w}$. Using the absolute convergence rearrange the terms in the resulting double-sum.
Since $e^{0}=1$, the above exercise implies that $e^{z} \cdot e^{-z}=1$, so $e^{z} \neq 0$.
Exercise 2. Define

$$
\cos (z):=\frac{e^{i z}+e^{-i z}}{2}, \quad \sin (z):=\frac{e^{i z}-e^{-i z}}{2 \boldsymbol{i}}
$$

Then both functions are holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ and their derivatives are given by

$$
\cos ^{\prime}(z)=-\sin (z), \quad \sin ^{\prime}(z)=\cos (z)
$$

## Complex logarithm

## Multivalued logarithm

Given $w=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}$ let us now try to solve equation $w=e^{z}$ for $z$. If $w=0$, then the equation has no solution, so let from now on assume $w \neq 0$.

- $|w|^{2}=w \cdot \bar{w}=e^{z} \cdot e^{\bar{z}}=e^{2 \mathfrak{R e z}}$. Hence we find $\operatorname{Re}(z)=\log |w|$, where $\log =\log _{e}: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the usual logarithmic function.
- Since $e^{\mathfrak{K} z z}=|w|$, we have $w /|w|=e^{i \operatorname{Im} z}$. This equation has infinitely many solutions

$$
\operatorname{Im} z=\varphi+2 \pi k, k \in Z
$$

where $\varphi:=\operatorname{Arg} w \in(-\pi, \pi]$ is the principle branch of the argument of $w$.
The above observation allows us to define a 'multivalued function' (this is not a function in the usual sense)

$$
\log w:=\log |w|+\boldsymbol{i} \arg w
$$

where $\arg w$ is the multivalued argument of $w$. Any two values of $\log w$ differ by a multiple of $i 2 \pi$.

## Principle branch

Often it is inconvenient to work with multivalued functions. To this end we will fix the principle branch of logarithm by setting

$$
\log w:=\log |w|+\boldsymbol{i} \operatorname{Arg} w
$$

This way logarithm becomes a single valued function $\mathbb{C}-\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The main drawback of this definition is that $\log$ is discontinuous along the negative ray $\{z=x+\boldsymbol{i} \cdot 0 \mid x<0\}$ : once we move from $x+\boldsymbol{i} \in$ to $x-\boldsymbol{i} \in$, the value of ImLog jumps by $2 \pi$. To 'fix' this issue, sometimes we will reduce the domain of Log and consider it as a function

$$
\log : \mathbb{C}-\{z=x+\boldsymbol{i} \cdot 0 \mid x<0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

Using Log we can define fractional and even any complex power of a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}-\{z=x+\boldsymbol{i} \cdot 0 \mid x<0\}$ :

$$
z^{w}:=e^{w \log z}
$$

Of course, instead of making a cut along the ray $\{z=x+\boldsymbol{i} \cdot 0 \mid x<0\}$ we could make a cut along any other ray $\left\{z=e^{i \varphi} x \mid x>0\right\}$.

Remark 12. Choosing a branch of the logarithmic function we inevitably loose the key property

$$
\log z+\log w=\log (z w)
$$

Instead, this identity holds only up to summands of the form $2 \pi i k, k \in N$ :

$$
\log z+\log w=\log (z w)+2 \pi i k
$$

More generally, one can define a single-valued logarithmic function in any open simply-connected region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ provided $0 \notin \Omega$. This will be done in future lectures.

