

## Lecture 4

### Power series continued

Any power series is holomorphic in its disc of convergence  $B_R(0)$ .

**Theorem 1.** The power series  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is holomorphic in the open disc  $B_R(0)$ , where  $R$  is the radius of convergence. Moreover,  $f'(z)$  is given by the power series with the same radius of convergence obtained from  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  by the term-wise differentiation:

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* First we note that since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 0$ ,

$$\limsup |a_n|^{1/n} = \limsup |n a_n|^{1/n},$$

so that  $\sum a_n z^n$  and  $\sum n a_n z^n$  have the same radius of convergence, hence so does  $\sum n a_n z^{n-1}$ .

Denote

$$g(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}$$

Not take  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| < r < R$ . Our aim is to prove that the difference

$$\left| \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h} - g(z_0) \right|$$

can be made arbitrary small by choosing  $h$  small enough.

Let us break the series defining  $f(z)$  into two parts:

$$f(z) = S_N(z) + E_N(z) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right)$$

with  $N$  to be determined. Then for  $h$  such that  $|z_0 + h| < r$  we can rewrite

$$\frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h} - g(z_0) = \left( \frac{S_N(z_0 + h) - S_N(z_0)}{h} - S'_N(z_0) \right) + (S'_N(z_0) - g(z_0)) + \left( \frac{E_N(z_0 + h) - E_N(z_0)}{h} \right).$$

We want to bound all three terms on the right hand side.

1. Since  $a^n - b^n = (a - b)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + \dots + ab^{n-2} + b^{n-1}) \leq n|a - b| \max(|a|, |b|)^{n-1}$ , we have for the third summand

$$\left| \frac{E_N(z_0 + h) - E_N(z_0)}{h} \right| \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \left| \frac{(z_0 + h)^n - z_0^n}{h} \right| < \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n |a_n| r^{n-1}.$$

The final expression is the tail a convergent series, since  $g(z)$  absolutely converges in  $\{z \mid |z| < R\}$ . Hence given  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $N_1$  large enough so that for  $N > N_1$

$$\left| \frac{E_N(z_0 + h) - E_N(z_0)}{h} \right| < \epsilon.$$

2. Next, since  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S'_N(z_0) = g(z_0)$ , we can find  $N_2$  so that for  $N > N_2$

$$|S'_N(z_0) - g(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Fix  $N > \max(N_1, N_2)$

3. Finally, since  $S'_N(z_0)$  is the complex derivative of a polynomial  $S_N(z)$  at  $z = z_0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|h| < \delta$  we have

$$\left| \frac{S_N(z_0 + h) - S_N(z_0)}{h} - S'_N(z_0) \right| < \epsilon.$$

Collecting three inequalities together we find:

$$\left| \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h} - g(z_0) \right| < 3\epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $g(z_0)$  is the derivative of  $f_0(z)$  at  $z = z_0$ . □

**Corollary 2.** A power series  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  is infinitely complex differentiable in its disk of convergence, and all its derivatives could be computed by the term-wise differentiation. In particular

$$a_p = \frac{f^{(p)}(0)}{p!}, p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Example 3.** Applying the above theorem to the series defining  $e^z$ , we conclude that  $e^z$  is holomorphic with  $(e^z)' = e^z$ .

**Exercise 1.** Prove that for  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$e^z \cdot e^w = e^{z+w}.$$

Hint: multiply the series defining  $e^z$  and  $e^w$ . Using the absolute convergence rearrange the terms in the resulting double-sum.

Since  $e^0 = 1$ , the above exercise implies that  $e^z \cdot e^{-z} = 1$ , so  $e^z \neq 0$ .

**Exercise 2.** Define

$$\cos(z) := \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}, \quad \sin(z) := \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}.$$

Then both functions are holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and their derivatives are given by

$$\cos'(z) = -\sin(z), \quad \sin'(z) = \cos(z).$$

## Complex logarithm

### Multivalued logarithm

Given  $w = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$  let us solve equation  $w = e^z$  for  $z$ . If  $w = 0$ , then the equation has no solution, so from now on we assume  $w \neq 0$ .

- $|w|^2 = w \cdot \bar{w} = e^z \cdot e^{\bar{z}} = e^{2\Re z}$ . Hence we find  $\Re z = \log|w|$ , where  $\log = \log_e: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the usual logarithmic function.
- Since  $e^{\Re z} = |w|$ , we have  $w/|w| = e^{i\Im z}$ . This equation has infinitely many solutions

$$\Im z = \varphi + 2\pi k, k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\varphi := \text{Arg} w \in (-\pi, \pi]$  is the *principle branch* of the argument of  $w$ .

The above observation allows us to define a 'multivalued function' (this is not a function in the usual sense)

$$\log w := \log|w| + i \arg w,$$

where  $\arg w$  is the multivalued argument of  $w$ . Any two values of  $\log w$  differ by a multiple of  $i2\pi$ .

### Principle branch

Often it is inconvenient to work with multivalued functions. To this end we will fix the *principle branch of logarithm* by setting

$$\text{Log} w := \log|w| + i \text{Arg} w.$$

This way logarithm becomes a single valued function  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The main drawback of this definition is that  $\text{Log}$  is discontinuous along the negative ray  $\{z = x + i \cdot 0 \mid x < 0\}$ : once we move from  $x + i\epsilon$  to  $x - i\epsilon$ , the value of  $\text{Im} \text{Log}$  jumps by  $2\pi$ . To 'fix' this issue, sometimes we will reduce the domain of  $\text{Log}$  and consider it as a function

$$\text{Log}: \mathbb{C} - \{z = x + i \cdot 0 \mid x \leq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Using  $\text{Log}$  we can define fractional and even any complex power of a complex number  $z \in \mathbb{C} - \{z = x + i \cdot 0 \mid x \leq 0\}$ :

$$z^w := e^{w \text{Log} z}.$$

Of course, instead of making a *cut* along the ray  $\{z = x + i \cdot 0 \mid x \leq 0\}$  we could make a cut along any other ray  $\{z = e^{i\varphi} x \mid x \geq 0\}$ .

**Remark 4.** Choosing a branch of the logarithmic function we inevitably lose the key property

$$\log z + \log w = \log(zw).$$

Instead, this identity holds only up to summands of the form  $2\pi ik, k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\text{Log} z + \text{Log} w = \text{Log}(zw) + 2\pi ik.$$

More generally, one can define a single-valued logarithmic function in any open *simply-connected* region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  provided  $0 \notin \Omega$ . This will be done in future lectures.

## Integration along curves

**Definition 5.** A *parametrized curve* is a function  $z(t)$  which maps a closed interval  $[a, b] \in \mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will always impose regularity conditions on  $z(t)$ . We say that the curve is *smooth* if  $z'(t)$  exists and continuous and  $z'(t) \neq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ .

All curves in this course will be continuous and piecewise smooth.

Two parametrizations  $z: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\tilde{z}: [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are *equivalent* if there exist a continuously differentiable bijection (reparametrization)  $s \rightarrow t(s)$  with  $t'(s) > 0$  such that:

$$\tilde{z}(s) = z(t(s)).$$

The equivalence class of a parametrized curve is a *plane curve*  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  with a fixed *orientation*.

We define the integral of a complex-valued function  $f(z)$  along a curve  $\gamma$  parametrized by  $z: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as:

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz := \int_a^b f(z(t)) z'(t) dt.$$

Provided  $f(z)$  is continuous, the integral on the right-hand side is well-defined.

**Proposition 6.** *The above integral does not depend on parametrization.*

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{z}(s) := z(t(s))$  is another smooth parametrization of the same oriented plane curve then by the change of variables

$$\int_a^b f(z(t)) z'(t) dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(z(t(s))) z'(t(s)) t'(s) ds = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\tilde{z}(s)) \tilde{z}'(s) ds.$$

□

Next is an extremely important example.

**Example 7.** Let  $\gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = e^{it}$  be the unit circle traversed counterclockwise. Then

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{i e^{it} dt}{e^{it}} = \int_0^{2\pi} i dt = 2\pi i.$$

**Exercise 3.** For  $\gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = e^{it}$  the unit circle traversed counterclockwise compute

$$\int_{\gamma} z^k dz, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

## Elementary properties of integration

Complex integration along curves satisfies many familiar properties.

- (Orientation) Given a curve  $\gamma$ , let  $(-\gamma)$  be the curve traversed in the opposite direction. Then

$$\int_{-\gamma} f(z) dz = - \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

- (Linearity in functions) Given complex valued functions  $f(z), g(z)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\int_{\gamma} (\alpha f(z) + \beta g(z)) dz = \alpha \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \beta \int_{\gamma} g(z) dz.$$

- (Linearity in curves) If  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$  is a subdivision of a curve  $\gamma$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz.$$

**Definition 8.** Let  $f(z)$  be a complex-valued function. A *primitive* of  $f(z)$  is a holomorphic function  $F(z)$  such that  $F'(z) = f(z)$ .

**Theorem 9** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). Let  $F(z)$  be a primitive of a continuous  $f(z)$  in an open region  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then for any oriented curve  $\gamma \subset U$  with endpoints  $z_0$  and  $z_1$

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = F(z_1) - F(z_0).$$

*Proof.* Let  $z(t): [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a parametrization of  $\gamma$ . Then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 F'(z(t)) z'(t) dt = \int_0^1 \frac{dF(z(t))}{dt} dt = F(z_1) - F(z_0),$$

where in the last step we used the usual Fundamental Theorem of Calculus applied to real and imaginary parts of  $t \mapsto F(z(t))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** Function  $f(z) = 1/z$  does not have a primitive in  $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .

Next theorem provides the converse of the FTC.

**Theorem 11.** Assume that a continuous complex-valued function  $f(z): U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma'} f(z) dz$$

where  $\gamma, \gamma' \subset U$  are two curves with the same starting and end points. Then  $f(z)$  admits a primitive  $F(z)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_0 \subset U$  be a connected component of  $U$ , and let  $z_0 \in U_0$  be a base point. For any  $z \in U_0$  consider a curve  $\gamma$  from  $z_0$  to  $z$  and define

$$F(z) := \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

By the Theorem's assumption, the above definition is independent of curve  $\gamma$ .

We claim that  $F(z)$  defined by the above formula is holomorphic with  $F'(z) = f(z)$ . Indeed, by the definition of  $F(z)$  we have:

$$\frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\gamma_h} f(z) dz,$$

where  $\gamma_h$  is any curve connecting  $z$  to  $z+h$ . By choosing  $\gamma_h$  to be the straight segment connecting  $z$  to  $z+h$  and using the continuity of  $f(z)$  it is easy to see that the limit of this expression as  $h \in \mathbb{C}$  goes to 0 is  $f(z)$ . Hence  $F(z)$  is indeed a primitive of  $f(z)$  in  $U_0$ .

If  $\tilde{F}(z)$  is another primitive of  $f(z)$  in  $U_0$  then  $F(z)$  and  $\tilde{F}(z)$  differ by a constant since  $(F(z) - \tilde{F}(z))$  has vanishing complex derivative, in particular, its real and imaginary parts are constants.

Applying the above argument to every connected component of  $U$  we prove the theorem.  $\square$